

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,074		11/13/2001	Reiko Koshida	AD 6760 US NA	5814
23906	7590	11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER				YOON, TAE H	
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	417 LANCASTER PIKE VILMINGTON, DE 19805			1714	
	,	•		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicants 10/053,074 KOSHIDA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Tae H. Yoon 1714 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponder Period for Reply Period for Reply Period for No. Applicants Applicants 10/053,074 KOSHIDA Total No. Application No. Applicants 10/053,074 KOSHIDA Tae H. Yoon 1714	ET AL.
Office Action Summary Examiner Tae H. Yoon 1714 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent	
Tae H. Yoon 1714 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponde	ence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponde	ence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponde	nce address
· one a ref repriy	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce an earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of this communication.
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as	s to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	s.
Disposition of Claims	•
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo	rm PTO-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Nat application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tional Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
and copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
D. Marian C. D. C. L. Company	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	n (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/053,074

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/047,686. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the neutral anthraquinone dye of said copending Application No. 10/047,686 encompasses the instant neutral anthraquinone dye, and the dyes recited in claim 4 of copending Application No. 10/047,686 encompass the instant red dyes.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The rejection is maintained. The instant generic neutral anthraquinone dye encompasses neutral anthraquinone dye of said copending Application No. 10/047,686, and the recited "comprising" in said copending Application No. 10/047,686 permits the presence of other dyes such as a yellow dye.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/053,074

Art Unit: 1714

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim is dependent on the cancelled claim 2, and thus it is indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reil (US 2002/0002225 A1).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following response.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,074

Art Unit: 1714

Contrary to applicant's assertion, Reil teaches the same dyes taught by the instant invention (table 1- C.I. Solvent Green 3, 28; C.I. Solvent Blue 45, 104, 122; C.I. Solvent Violet 13, 37 and page 13- C.I. Solvent Red 111).

Note that Reil teaches black polymeric compositions containing a mixture of colorants in [0013] wherein a mixture of red, blue and yellow dye contrary to applicant's assertion that the publication just discloses a combination of Sanoplast Yellow and Sanoplast Violet.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon / Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/November 16, 2004